

KC

**FILED**

JUL 25 2005

LAL

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**MICHAEL W. DOBBINS  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT**

ENTERTAINMENT SOFTWARE )  
ASSOCIATION; VIDEO SOFTWARE )  
DEALERS ASSOCIATION; and )  
ILLINOIS RETAIL MERCHANTS )  
ASSOCIATION, )

Plaintiffs, )

vs. )

ROD BLAGOJEVICH, in his official )  
capacity as Governor of the State of )  
Illinois; LISA MADIGAN, in her official )  
capacity as Attorney General of the State of )  
Illinois; and RICHARD A. DEVINE, in his )  
official capacity as State's Attorney of )  
Cook County, )

Defendants. )

**05C 4265**  
No.

**JUDGE KENNELLY  
MAGISTRATE JUDGE DENLOW**

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiffs Entertainment Software Association ("ESA"), Vidco Software Dealers Association ("VSDA"), and Illinois Retail Merchants Association ("IRMA"), by and through their attorneys, aver and allege as follows:

**NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. Plaintiffs are associations whose members include companies that create, publish, distribute, sell, rent, or make video games available to the public. Plaintiffs bring this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 seeking declaratory and injunctive relief against enforcement of a new Illinois statute that significantly infringes upon constitutionally protected rights of free expression.

2. The challenged act, Illinois House Bill 4023 (hereinafter, the "Act"), was signed into law on July 25, 2005, and goes into effect on January 1, 2006. The Act penalizes the sale or rental of video games based solely on their expressive content, in violation of the First Amendment. Specifically, the Act makes it illegal for anyone in Illinois to sell or rent to anyone under the age of 18 a "violent" video game. According to the Act, "violent video games" are those that "include depictions of or simulations of human-on-human violence in which the player kills or otherwise causes serious physical harm to another human." Act § 12A-10(e). A person who violates the Act is subject to criminal penalties and substantial fines. Because some of Plaintiffs' members create, manufacture, rent, or sell games that may fall within the statutory definition, Plaintiffs' members may be subject to prosecution under the Act.

3. The Act violates the First Amendment and other provisions of the United States Constitution by creating penalties for the sale or rental of video games based solely on a game's "violent" content. The First Amendment prohibits such content-based censorship. Not only does the Act directly restrict the dissemination and receipt of a considerable amount of fully protected expression, but, because of its numerous vague terms, the Act also creates a chilling effect on a great deal of speech, as game creators and retailers will respond to the Act's uncertainty by self-censoring, depriving adults and children of access to undeniably protected expression.

4. The Act is unconstitutional under binding Seventh Circuit precedent. In *American Amusement Machine Association v. Kendrick*, 244 F.3d 572 (7th Cir. 2001), the Court of Appeals addressed an Indianapolis ordinance that similarly sought to restrict minors' access to "violent" video games. The Seventh Circuit unequivocally held that such video games are fully protected by the First Amendment and that a restriction on this protected expression could not be

justified by speculation that these games might harm minors who have constitutional rights to access them.

5. The Act also makes it illegal to sell or rent a “sexually explicit” video game to anyone under the age of 18. Act § 12B-11 *et seq.* The statutory definition of “sexually explicit video games” is much broader than the Act’s “harmful to minors” section, Act § 11-21(a), and contains no exception for material that has serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value. Thus, the Act’s prohibition on “sexually explicit video games” does not conform to settled constitutional requirements for the regulation of obscenity as to minors. As a result, the Act will improperly suppress speech that is wholly protected under the First Amendment as to both adults and children. The restriction on “sexually explicit video games” is not narrowly tailored to serve a compelling state interest and should be stricken.

6. Just as the Act restricts protected expression in violation of clear and binding precedent, it imposes additional burdens on retailers that violate the First Amendment. The Act requires video game retailers to label all “violent video games” and “sexually explicit video games” with a “solid white ‘18’ outlined in black,” measuring not less than 2 inches squared. Act § 12A-25(a). Retailers must also post a sign, no smaller than 18 by 24 inches, with lettering in 36-point font, that “notifies customers that a video game rating system . . . is available to aid in the selection of a game.” Act § 12B-30(a)-(b). Finally, retailers must make available “upon request a brochure to customers that explains the . . . ratings system.” These requirements—which conflict with the voluntary labeling and signage systems already employed by the video game industry—impose significant burdens on Plaintiffs’ members’ expression. These requirements also unconstitutionally compel speech by forcing retailers to relay a government message for which there is no legitimate, much less substantial, underlying purpose.

7. Plaintiffs maintain (a) that the challenged provisions of the Act are void and of no force and effect because they are unconstitutional under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States and thus actionable under 42 U.S.C. § 1983; and (b) that Plaintiffs and their members, as well as many citizens of Illinois, will suffer immediate, serious, and irreparable injury if the challenged provisions take effect.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

8. This action arises under the Constitution of the United States, the First and Fourteenth Amendments thereto, and the laws of the United States, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988, and 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(a)(3). This action is brought against the defendants in their official capacity pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

9. Venue is proper in the Northern District of Illinois. Many of Plaintiffs IRMA's and VSDA's members are located in and/or do business in this judicial district, and the claims thus arise in this district. Defendant Richard A. Devine also resides in this judicial district, and is responsible for enforcing the Act within Cook County.

### **PARTIES**

10. Plaintiff ESA is a nonprofit trade association organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business in the District of Columbia. A fundamental purpose of ESA is to serve and promote the business and public affairs interests of companies that publish entertainment software used for video games, including such companies' right to publish and distribute works of expression that are protected under the First Amendment

to the United States Constitution and similar provisions of the constitutions of various states. ESA members include a number of entities that produce, distribute, and/or supply video games to owners and operators of sales and rental outlets within Cook County and throughout Illinois.

11. Plaintiff VSDA, established in 1981, is the not-for-profit international trade association for the \$24 billion home entertainment industry. VSDA is incorporated in the State of Delaware and its principal place of business is Los Angeles, California. VSDA represents more than 1,000 companies throughout the United States, Canada, and other nations. Its members operate approximately 10,000 retail outlets in the U.S., including more than 200 in the state of Illinois, that sell and/or rent DVDs, VHS cassettes, and console video games. Membership comprises the full spectrum of video retailers (from single-store operators to large chains), video distributors, the home video divisions of major and independent motion picture studios, and other related businesses that constitute and support the home video entertainment industry.

12. Plaintiff IRMA represents the interests of over 20,000 stores in Illinois, hundreds of which sell and/or rent DVDs, VHS cassettes and console video games. Membership in IRMA is comprised of all sizes of store companies from "mom and pop" to companies operating throughout the United States and around the world.

13. The interests that Plaintiffs ESA, VSDA, and IRMA seek to protect in this action are germane to the purposes of each organization, and neither the claims nor the forms of relief sought in this action require participation of individual members of Plaintiffs. One or more members of each association have standing to bring this action in their own right.

14. Plaintiffs are threatened with immediate, serious, and irreparable injury as a result of the enactment and imminent enforcement of the challenged provisions of the Act. Once the Act is in force, Plaintiffs and their members will be subject to liability for disseminating works fully protected under the First Amendment. The Act will have an immediate and vast chilling effect upon constitutionally protected speech because those who sell, rent, or permit to be sold or rented video games (and their respective distributors and providers) will, to avoid liability under the Act, refrain from offering for rental or sale a wide array of games, either to minors or to all customers. This will in turn chill video game distributors, publishers, and creators from developing, publishing and distributing works that may run afoul of the Act's vague definition of prohibited content. Plaintiffs will also be unlawfully compelled by the Act to disseminate a message on behalf of the state that is not tied to a legitimate regulatory purpose.

15. The Act will also cause irreparable harm to willing listeners—both under and above age 18—who will be deprived of the ability to hear Plaintiffs' members' speech. In this facial challenge to the Act, Plaintiffs have standing to assert not only their own rights and harm, but also that of the potential recipients of Plaintiffs' members' speech.

16. Defendant Rod Blagojevich is the Governor of the State of Illinois. As Governor, he is vested with "the supreme executive power" of the state and is "responsible for the faithful execution of [its] laws." Ill. Const. art. V, § 8. This injunctive action is brought against Governor Blagojevich in his official capacity.

17. Defendant Lisa Madigan is the Attorney General of the State of Illinois. In that capacity, she "consult[s] with and advise[s] the several state's attorneys in matters relating to the duties of their office; and when, in [her] judgment, the interest of the people of the state requires

it, [s]he shall attend the trial of any party accused of crime, and assist in the prosecution.” 15 ILCS 205/4. This injunctive action is brought against Attorney General Madigan in her official capacity.

18. Defendant Richard Devine is the State’s Attorney responsible for enforcing criminal laws within Cook County. This injunctive action is brought against State’s Attorney Devine in his official capacity.

## **BACKGROUND**

### **Video Games and the First Amendment**

19. The challenged provisions of the Act seek to regulate the content of a certain medium of expression (defined as “video games” under the Act) and limit access to certain video games based solely on the content of the expression depicted or contained therein.

20. Video games are a form of artistic expression much like other forms of protected expression, such as movies, books, and music. Video games contain extensive storylines and character development, comparable to that of books and movies. The storylines and plot, and associated dialogue among characters, continue throughout the game play and are an integral part of the game itself. Like the best of literature, the storylines often involve familiar themes such as good versus evil, triumph over adversity, struggle against corrupt governments and rulers, and/or quest for adventure. Expression in other media, such as movies and books, draws thematic ideas directly from video games. Video games similarly draw and evolve themes from other media.

21. Video games also feature the artwork of some of the best modern graphic artists. The typical video game contains many different animated or computer-generated illustrations.

Video games also contain music, much of it original and performed by top musicians and orchestras. Like the music that plays during movies, the music in video games enhances and complements the expression conveyed by the images and dialogue, often in dramatic fashion.

22. The First Amendment provides that “Congress shall make no law . . . abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press,” U.S. Const. amend. I, and the prohibitions of the First Amendment apply to the State of Illinois, U.S. Const. amend. XIV.

23. The First Amendment shields verbal expression, written expression, visual expression, entertainment, art, and music. The protections of the First Amendment apply just as much to video games as they do to books, newspapers, films, theater, and music.

24. The First Amendment also protects expressions and depictions of violence devoid of obscene sexual content. Thus, video games depicting violence—like movies or illustrations that depict violence—are fully protected by the First Amendment.

25. Sexually explicit expression that is not obscene is fully protected by the First Amendment. Although a state may regulate minors’ access to some sexually explicit material that is otherwise fully protected as to adults, any such regulation must meet the narrow test of obscenity for minors established by the United States Supreme Court. Any content-based regulation of speech that does not fall within this narrow category must satisfy strict scrutiny.

#### **The Act’s Restrictions on Protected Speech**

26. The Act was passed by the Illinois Assembly on May 28, 2005, and was signed into law by Governor Blagojevich on July 25, 2005. A true, complete, and accurate copy of the



Act is attached hereto as Exhibit 1, and is incorporated herein as if fully set forth. The Act provides that it will go into effect on January 1, 2006.

**The “Violent Video Games” Provisions**

27. The Act seeks to suppress expression in the video game medium because of the supposed effect of that expression on minors under the age of 18. The stated legislative purpose of the Act is to “prevent[] violent, aggressive, and asocial behavior” in minors and to “prevent psychological harm to minors who play the games.” Act § 12A-5(e), (f). According to the Act’s preamble, prohibiting the sale of “violent” video games will “eliminat[e a] societal factor[] that may inhibit the physiological and neurological development of its youth”; and “facilitat[e] the maturation of Illinois’ children into law-abiding, productive adults.” Act § 12A-5(g), (h). The Act further states that the restrictions are justified by the State’s “compelling interest in assisting parents in protecting their minor children from violent video games.” Act § 12A-5(d).

28. The Act seeks to suppress expression in games deemed “violent,” defined by the Act as follows:

“Violent” video games include depictions of or simulations of human-on-human violence in which the player kills or otherwise causes serious physical harm to another human. “Serious physical harm” includes depictions of death, dismemberment, amputation, decapitation, maiming, disfigurement, mutilation of body parts, or rape.

Act § 12A-10(e).

29. Section 12A-15 of the Act would impose restrictions on freedom of expression by making it unlawful for any “person” to sell, rent, or permit to be sold or rented to a minor, any video game meeting the description of “violent” video game set forth in Paragraph 28. Act § 12A-15(a). A minor is defined as a person under 18 years old. Act § 12A-10(d). A “person”

under the Act includes, but is not limited to “an individual, corporation, partnership, and association.” Act § 12A-10(d).

30. The Act requires any person who sells or rents a “violent” video game using an electronic scanner to “program the scanner to prompt sales clerks to check identification before the sale or rental transaction is completed.” Act § 12A-15(b). Sales or rentals of such games may not be made through a “self-scanning checkout mechanism.” Act § 12A-15(c).

31. A person who violates the Act’s “violent” video game provisions is liable for a petty offense under the Illinois Criminal Code, and subject to a \$1,000 fine. Act § 12A-15(a), (b), (c).

32. A retail sales clerk is not liable under § 12A-15(a) “unless he or she has complete knowledge that the party to whom he or she sold or rented the violent video game was a minor and the clerk sold or rented the video game to the minor with the specific intent to do so.” Act § 12A-15(d). A video game retailer has an affirmative defense under the Act “if the retail sales clerk had complete knowledge that the party to whom he or she sold or rented a violent video game was a minor and the clerk sold or rented the video game to the minor with the specific intent to do so.” § 12A-20(3). It is also an affirmative defense to the Act if “the video game sold or rented was pre-packaged and rated EC, E, E10+ or T by the Entertainment Software Rating[] Board.” § 12A-20(4).

#### **The “Sexually Explicit Video Games” Provisions**

33. The Act also seeks to suppress expression in games deemed “sexually explicit,” which, according to the Act, are presumed to be “inappropriate for minors.” Act § 12B-5. The

Act states that the restriction is justified by the State's "compelling interest in assisting parents in protecting their minor children from sexually explicit video games." Act § 12B-5.

34. "Sexually explicit video games" are defined by the Act as including those games:

that the average person, applying contemporary community standards would find, with respect to minors, is designed to appeal or pander to the prurient interest and depict or represent in a manner patently offensive with respect to minors, an actual or simulated sexual act or sexual contact, an actual or simulated normal or perverted sexual act or a lewd exhibition of the genitals or post-pubescent female breast.

Act § 12B-10(e).

35. Section 12B-15 of the Act provides that "[a] person who sells, rents, or permits to be sold or rented, any sexually explicit video game to any minor, commits a petty offense for which a fine of \$1,000 may be imposed." Act § 12B-15(a). Like the "violent" video game provisions, the Act requires electronic scanners to be programmed to prompt clerks to check identification in selling or renting "sexually explicit" video games, prohibits the use of self-scanning checkout mechanisms for the sale or rental of such games, and imposes fines for violations of both provisions. Act § 12B-15(b), (c).

36. As with the "violent" video game provisions, a retail sales clerk is not liable under the "sexually explicit" video game provisions "unless he or she has complete knowledge that the party to whom he or she sold or rented the sexually explicit video game was a minor and the clerk sold or rented the video game to the minor with the specific intent to do so." § 12B-15(d). Likewise, a video game retailer has an affirmative defense under the Act "if the retail sales clerk had complete knowledge that the party to whom he or she sold or rented a violent [sic] video game was a minor and the clerk sold or rented the video game to the minor with the specific intent to do so." § 12B-20(3). It is also an affirmative defense to the "sexually explicit" video

game provisions if “the video game sold or rented was pre-packaged and rated EC, E10+, E, or T by the Entertainment Software Rating[] Board.” § 12B-20(4).

### **The Act’s Labeling, Signage and Brochure Provisions**

37. Not only does the Act ban the sale or rental of “violent” and “sexually explicit” video games to minors, and regulate the method of sales and rentals of such games, but it also imposes additional burdens on retailers through its labeling, signage and brochure requirements. Section 12A-25 of the Act requires any “video game retailer,” defined as a person who “sells or rents video games to the public,” Act § 12A-10(a), to “label all violent video games . . . with a solid white ‘18’ outlined in black. The ‘18’ shall have dimensions of no less than 2 inches by 2 inches” and “shall be displayed on the front face of the video game package.” Act § 12A-25(a). Failure to comply with this labeling requirement “is a petty offense punishable by a fine of \$500 for the first 3 violations, and \$1,000 for every subsequent violation.” Act § 12A-25(b). Identical labeling requirements and punishments are imposed with respect to “sexually explicit” video games. Act § 12B-25(a)-(b).

38. Sections 12B-30 and 12B-35 of the Act would compel still more speech. Section 12B-30 requires that any “retailer who sells or rents video games shall post a sign that notifies customers” of the ESRB rating system. Act § 12B-30(a). “The sign shall be prominently displayed in, or within 5 feet of, the area in which games are displayed for sale or rental, at the information desk if one exists, and at the point of purchase.” Act § 12B-30(a). The Section further requires that the sign be printed in a minimum of 36-point type in black ink on a light background, and measure no less than 18 by 24 inches. Act § 12B-30(b). Section 12B-35 requires that a retailer “make available upon request a brochure to customers” that explains the

ESRB rating system. Act § 12B-35(a). Failure to comply with either requirement is “a petty offense punishable by a fine of \$500 for the first 3 violations, and \$1,000 for every subsequent violation.” Act §§ 12B-30(c), 12B-35(b).

**The Act Violates the First Amendment**

39. By restricting the sale or rental of video games deemed “violent” under the statute, the Act imposes penalties based on the content of the games’ expression. The Act therefore is subject to the most exacting scrutiny under the First Amendment.

40. No compelling state interest exists that justifies the broad suppression of speech imposed by the Act. The Act is based on purported legislative findings that the “violent” video games promote “violent, asocial, or aggressive behavior,” and that playing these games cause “a reduction of activity in the frontal lobes of the brain which is responsible for controlling behavior.” Act § 12A-5(a). But those claims, which ignore conflicting evidence, are not supported by credible factual support. The purported legislative “findings” therefore are not based on reasonable inferences drawn from substantial evidence.

41. In addition, the Act suppresses “violent” expression without any legislative finding, or underlying evidence, that exposure to such expression is directed to and likely to cause imminent violent action by the game player.

42. The Act is not the least restrictive means of achieving any of the Assembly’s asserted goals, and the Assembly refused to consider less speech-restrictive means of regulating minors’ access to “violent” and “sexually explicit” video games, including those that were proposed by Plaintiffs and their members.

43. The Illinois Assembly's "finding" that the video game industry's voluntary rating system is "not adequately enforced" is not supported by substantial evidence.

44. Nor does the Act's restriction of "sexually explicit" video games conform with the First Amendment. The Act's definition of "sexually explicit" falls far short of the constitutional standard for expression that may be regulated as obscene as to minors. Critically, the "sexually explicit" video games provision contains no exception for material that has serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value. As a result, the Act threatens to penalize expression that is fully protected for both adults and minors.

45. The prohibition on the sale or rental of "sexually explicit" video games is not narrowly tailored to serve a compelling interest. The State claims "a compelling interest in assisting parents in protecting their minor children from sexually explicit video games," but an interest in "protecting" viewers from constitutionally protected speech cannot alone be sufficient to justify restriction of that expression. Any legitimate interest in curbing minors' access to "harmful" sexual materials, moreover, is served by the Act's separate "harmful to minors" section, which appears to comply with the three-part constitutional test for "harmful to minors" regulation. Act § 11-21(a).

46. The Act's incorporation of the ESRB voluntary rating system into a statutory affirmative defense constitutes an unlawful delegation of the Assembly's authority to define the parameters of unlawful speech.

47. The Act presents Plaintiffs' members with the possibility of arbitrary and discriminatory enforcement because the Act fails to set forth minimal standards for enforcement. The Act does not set forth adequately specific standards for determining which video games have

sufficient “violent” or “sexually explicit” content to fall within the Act’s prohibitions. Likewise, the Act forces each individual retailer to determine which video games must be labeled with the large “18” sticker, to avoid penalties under the labeling provisions. As a result, different retailers and publishers will almost certainly reach different, and conflicting, determinations as to which games are likely to be restricted by the Act.

48. The Act will have a chilling effect on game manufacturers and retailers. Under the Act, game manufacturers and retailers must determine which games may be subject to the statute’s criminal penalties. By their nature, games offer the player a wide range of possible game play of significant duration. Even if only a small portion of a game contained content that theoretically met the Act’s definitions, the entire game would be suppressed. Moreover, to ensure that the games they sold did not violate the Act, retailers and clerks would be expected to review the entire possible course of play in a particular game. The significant burdens imposed by the law will ultimately lead to a chilling of speech of game developers, retailers, and consumers.

49. Some of the content displayed by the video games created, published, distributed, rented, sold, and/or made available to the public by Plaintiffs or their members, while fully protected by the United States Constitution, may be deemed by law enforcement officials in Illinois, including the Defendants, to meet the Act’s definitions for “violent” and “sexually explicit” video games, thus subjecting Plaintiffs or their members to the threat of prosecution, as well as creating a chilling effect on their rights to freedom of expression.

50. The challenged provisions of the Act would infringe the First Amendment rights (i) of businesses physically present in Illinois, including Plaintiffs’ members, who face the threat

of prosecution if they do not comply with restrictions on their right to distribute constitutionally protected expression, (ii) of potential customers of those businesses—including both those under 18 as well as adults—who, because of these restrictions, will be deprived of the opportunity to receive fully protected speech, and (iii) of businesses located outside Illinois, including members of Plaintiffs, whose ability to distribute their creative works within Illinois will be burdened based on the content of those works of expression.

51. The Act's labeling and signage requirements, and provisions governing the use of electronic scanners, impose an additional content-based burden on video game retailers that is unsupported by a compelling state interest. Video game manufacturers and retailers have already invested significant amounts of money and resources into developing labels, signs, and materials that educate parents and consumers about the industry's voluntary rating system. The Act's size, appearance, and placement requirements conflict with these existing practices, and complying with the Act's requirements in this regard would be extremely costly, burdensome, and impractical for game makers and retailers. These requirements also unconstitutionally compel retailers to disseminate a State message for which there is no underlying substantial regulatory interest.

52. The challenged provisions of the Act threaten Plaintiffs, their members, and other businesses involved in the creation, distribution, display, sale, or rental of video games, as well as adults and those under 18 who wish to receive the speech in those games, with serious, immediate, and irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

53. In this facial constitutional challenge to the Act, Plaintiffs have standing to assert the rights of, and harm to, the potential customers of Plaintiffs and their members.



## COUNT I

### **(First and Fourteenth Amendments—Freedom of Expression)**

54. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 53 as if fully set forth herein.

55. The challenged provisions of the Act would restrict access to video games based solely upon the “violent” or “sexually explicit” content of the creative expression depicted. The content of the expression made subject to these restrictions is not obscene or obscene as to minors. Nor does it fall within any other category of expression that may constitutionally be regulated based solely upon its content.

56. The Act imposes unconstitutional content regulation by prohibiting a person from selling, renting, or permitting to be sold or rented, any video game meeting the statutory definition of “violent” or “sexually explicit” video games to any person under the age of 18. The Act restricts the freedom of creators, distributors, and publishers of games, as well as purchasers, renters, and other players of such games, to communicate and receive expression that is not constitutionally subject to regulation based upon its content. Moreover, the Act’s stated purposes are unsupported as a factual matter and are insufficient under the First Amendment to justify the broad content discrimination imposed by the Act. Not only does the Act fail to serve a compelling governmental interest, but the Act is not narrowly tailored to serve any such interest, and the Assembly refused to consider less speech-restrictive means of achieving its goals.

57. The Act does not establish standards for determining which games contain content meeting the description set forth in Paragraphs 28 and 34 hereof. The challenged provisions of the Act would impose upon every person who sells, rents, or permits to be sold or rented video games, the burden of determining whether each such video game meets the description set forth in Paragraphs 28 and 34 hereof, prior to publishing, distributing, or otherwise holding that game out to the public. The challenged provisions impose upon every such person the risk of substantial penalties. This burden and risk are aggravated by the vagueness of the statutory description of the regulated content. The challenged provisions thus would establish an unconstitutional scheme of censorship under which even works of expression that do not meet the statutory description in the Act would be suppressed because of the burden placed upon persons selling or renting video games of determining the scope of the Act's coverage and because of the risk of erroneous determinations. Persons selling, renting, or permitting to be sold or rented video games (and their respective distributors and suppliers) would be induced to refuse to include certain works in their inventories or premises, for fear of running afoul of the Act's ambiguous prohibitions. Imposition of this burden and risk serves no compelling interest and is not narrowly tailored to serve any such interest.

58. Furthermore, the Act would compel a person who sells or rents video games to label every game that meets the statutory definition of "violent" or "sexually explicit" and to post signs and provide literature relating to a video game rating system. The labeling requirement essentially compels retailers and game manufacturers to disseminate the government's message that minors should be denied access to certain video games—even though the games are fully protected as to both minors and adults. Forcing individuals to disseminate a message on behalf

of the State violates the First Amendment every bit as much as restricting the dissemination of individuals' own messages.

59. For each of the reasons set forth above, and others, the challenged provisions of the Act are unconstitutional under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, as applied to the State of Illinois by the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

## **COUNT II**

### **(First and Fourteenth Amendments—Vagueness)**

60. Plaintiffs repeat and recollect the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 59 as if fully set forth herein.

61. The challenged provisions of the Act are unconstitutionally vague in that many of the terms and phrases employed therein, including but not limited to, the terms and phrases "kills or otherwise causes serious physical harm," "depictions of or simulations of," and "human-on-human," have no clear meaning in the context of animated video games. Persons of common intelligence are, therefore, forced to guess at their meaning and at the scope of the challenged provisions.

62. The unconstitutional vagueness of the challenged provisions will have a chilling effect on producers, designers, publishers, and distributors of video games and will impose substantial burdens upon persons who sell, rent, or permit to be sold or rented video games, preventing them from exercising their constitutionally protected freedom of expression. The Act's vagueness is also likely to lead to enforcement by law enforcement officials on an unfair,

subjective, and ad hoc basis. The statutory defenses exacerbate, rather than cure, the Act's vagueness problems. Given the vagueness of terms like "complete knowledge," clerks and retailers will not know whether they will qualify for a statutory defense. Furthermore, the scope of the defenses is unclear—for example, how would a store manager prosecuted under the Act be treated? Because many of the Act's terms have no clear meaning, the Act will restrict a far broader range of video games than even the State claims it is seeking to regulate, as stores, store clerks, and game developers will respond to this uncertainty and fear of prosecution by refusing to provide video games—to both adults and minors—that conceivably could be deemed to fall within the Act's prohibitions.

63. For each of the reasons set forth above, and others, the challenged provisions of the Act are unconstitutional under the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, as well as the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, as applied to the State of Illinois by the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

### **COUNT III**

#### **(Fourteenth Amendment—Equal Protection)**

64. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 63 as if fully set forth herein.

65. The challenged provisions of the Act regulate and restrict under the threat of substantial penalties certain works of expression presented through the medium of video games. These same regulations, restrictions, and penalties do not apply to other works of expression containing the same or similar content, but communicated in other media, including, by way of

example only, cable television, broadcast television, movies, books, magazines, and the like. Indeed, many of these other media—which compete with video games for consumers—contain expression that is based on video games that could fall within the prohibitions of the Act. Likewise, video games that could fall within the Act’s prohibitions may themselves be based on similar speech in other, unregulated media.

66. The challenged provisions of the Act arbitrarily and irrationally would establish a legislative scheme of classifications that burden fundamental rights and that are not closely related to any compelling state interest.

67. For the foregoing reasons, and others, the challenged provisions of the Act are unconstitutional under the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

#### **COUNT IV**

##### **(First and Fourteenth Amendments—Due Process)**

68. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 67 as if fully set forth herein.

69. The Act unconstitutionally delegates the power of the legislature to define the narrow categories of speech that may be criminalized, in derogation of Due Process. The Act creates an affirmative defense to the statute’s “violent” and “sexually explicit” video game prohibitions “the video game sold or rented was pre-packaged and rated EC, E10+, E, or T by the Entertainment Software Rating[] Board.” Act § 12B-20(4). In so doing, the Act impermissibly delegates legislative authority to the ESRB, a private entity. By delegating the power to

determine what video games are subject to the law's restrictions to a private organization, and without any accompanying legislative standards, the Act violates Due Process.

70. For each of the reasons set forth above, and others, the challenged provisions of the Act are unconstitutional under the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, as well as the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, as applied to the State of Illinois by the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

#### COUNT V

#### (Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983)

71. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 70 as if fully set forth herein.

72. The challenged provisions of the Act would cause Plaintiffs and their members to be subjected to the deprivation of rights, privileges, and immunities secured to them by the Constitution and laws of the United States. The challenged provisions thus constitute a deprivation of rights actionable under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.


73. In the event Plaintiffs prevail on any claims under the Constitution of the United States set forth in this Complaint, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover attorneys' fees under 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand that this Court enter a judgment in Plaintiffs' favor and against Defendants as follows:

- (a) That this Court issue a declaratory judgment that the challenged provisions of the Act are void and of no force and effect;
- (b) That this Court issue a preliminary injunction and a permanent injunction against Defendants enjoining them from enforcing, or directing the enforcement of, the challenged provisions of the Act in any respect;
- (c) That Plaintiffs be awarded their attorneys' fees under 42 U.S.C. § 1988;
- (d) That Plaintiffs be awarded their costs herein; and
- (e) That this Court order such other general and equitable relief as it deems fit and proper.

ENTERTAINMENT SOFTWARE ASSOCIATION,  
VIDEO SOFTWARE DEALERS ASSOCIATION, and  
ILLINOIS RETAIL MERCHANTS ASSOCIATION



---

One of the Attorney for Plaintiffs

David P. Sanders (ARDC # 2452359)  
JENNER & BLOCK LLP  
One IBM Plaza  
Chicago, IL 60611-7603  
Tel. (312) 222-9350  
Fax (312) 527-0484

Paul M. Smith  
Katherine A. Fallow  
Kathleen R. Hartnett  
Thomas G. Pulham  
JENNER & BLOCK LLP  
601 13th Street, NW, Suite 1200  
Washington, DC 20005  
Tel. (202) 639-6000  
Fax (202) 639-6066

# **EXHIBIT A**



HB4023 Enrolled

LRB094 11300 RLC 42106 b

1 AN ACT concerning criminal law.

2 **Be it enacted by the People of the State of Illinois,**  
3 **represented in the General Assembly:**

4 Section 5. The Criminal Code of 1961 is amended by changing  
5 Section 11-21 and by adding Articles 12A and 12B as follows:

6 (720 ILCS 5/11-21) (from Ch. 38, par. 11-21)

7 Sec. 11-21. Harmful material.

8 (a) As used in this Section:

9 "Distribute" means transfer possession of, whether  
10 with or without consideration.

11 "Harmful to minors" means that quality of any  
12 description or representation, in whatever form, of  
13 nudity, sexual conduct, sexual excitement, or  
14 sado-masochistic abuse, when, taken as a whole, it (i)  
15 predominately appeals to the prurient interest in sex of  
16 minors, (ii) is patently offensive to prevailing standards  
17 in the adult community in the State as a whole with respect  
18 to what is suitable material for minors, and (iii) lacks  
19 serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value  
20 for minors.

21 "Knowingly" means having knowledge of the contents of  
22 the subject matter, or recklessly failing to exercise  
23 reasonable inspection which would have disclosed the  
24 contents.

25 "Material" means (i) any picture, photograph, drawing,  
26 sculpture, film, video game, computer game, video or  
27 similar visual depiction, including any such  
28 representation or image which is stored electronically, or  
29 (ii) any book, magazine, printed matter however  
30 reproduced, or recorded audio of any sort.

31 "Minor" means any person under the age of 18.

32 "Nudity" means the showing of the human male or female

HB4023 Enrolled

- 2 -

LRB094 11300 RLC 42106 b

1 genitals, pubic area or buttocks with less than a full  
2 opaque covering, or the showing of the female breast with  
3 less than a fully opaque covering of any portion below the  
4 top of the nipple, or the depiction of covered male  
5 genitals in a discernably turgid state.

6 "Sado-masochistic abuse" means flagellation or torture  
7 by or upon a person clad in undergarments, a mask or  
8 bizarre costume, or the condition of being fettered, bound  
9 or otherwise physically restrained on the part of one  
10 clothed for sexual gratification or stimulation.

11 "Sexual conduct" means acts of masturbation, sexual  
12 intercourse, or physical contact with a person's clothed or  
13 unclothed genitals, pubic area, buttocks or, if such person  
14 be a female, breast.

15 "Sexual excitement" means the condition of human male  
16 or female genitals when in a state of sexual stimulation or  
17 arousal.

18 (b) A person is guilty of distributing harmful material to  
19 a minor when he or she:

20 (1) knowingly sells, lends, distributes, or gives away  
21 to a minor, knowing that the minor is under the age of 18  
22 or failing to exercise reasonable care in ascertaining the  
23 person's true age:

24 (A) any material which depicts nudity, sexual  
25 conduct or sado-masochistic abuse, or which contains  
26 explicit and detailed verbal descriptions or narrative  
27 accounts of sexual excitement, sexual conduct or  
28 sado-masochistic abuse, and which taken as a whole is  
29 harmful to minors;

30 (B) a motion picture, show, or other presentation  
31 which depicts nudity, sexual conduct or  
32 sado-masochistic abuse and is harmful to minors; or

33 (C) an admission ticket or pass to premises where  
34 there is exhibited or to be exhibited such a motion  
35 picture, show, or other presentation; or

36 (2) admits a minor to premises where there is exhibited

HB4023 Enrolled

- 3 -

LRB094 11300 RLC 42106 b

1 or to be exhibited such a motion picture, show, or other  
2 presentation, knowing that the minor is a person under the  
3 age of 18 or failing to exercise reasonable care in  
4 ascertaining the person's true age.

5 (c) in any prosecution arising under this Section, it is an  
6 affirmative defense:

7 (1) that the minor as to whom the offense is alleged to  
8 have been committed exhibited to the accused a draft card,  
9 driver's license, birth certificate or other official or  
10 apparently official document purporting to establish that  
11 the minor was 18 years of age or older, which was relied  
12 upon by the accused;

13 (2) that the defendant was in a parental or  
14 guardianship relationship with the minor or that the minor  
15 was accompanied by a parent or legal guardian;

16 (3) that the defendant was a bona fide school, museum,  
17 or public library, or was a person acting in the course of  
18 his or her employment as an employee or official of such  
19 organization or retail outlet affiliated with and serving  
20 the educational purpose of such organization;

21 (4) that the act charged was committed in aid of  
22 legitimate scientific or educational purposes; or

23 (5) that an advertisement of harmful material as  
24 defined in this Section culminated in the sale or  
25 distribution of such harmful material to a child under  
26 circumstances where there was no personal confrontation of  
27 the child by the defendant, his employees, or agents, as  
28 where the order or request for such harmful material was  
29 transmitted by mail, telephone, Internet or similar means  
30 of communication, and delivery of such harmful material to  
31 the child was by mail, freight, Internet or similar means  
32 of transport, which advertisement contained the following  
33 statement, or a substantially similar statement, and that  
34 the defendant required the purchaser to certify that he or  
35 she was not under the age of 18 and that the purchaser  
36 falsely stated that he or she was not under the age of 18:

HB4023 Enrolled

- 4 -

LRB094 11300 RLC 42106 b

1 "NOTICE: It is unlawful for any person under the age of 18  
2 to purchase the matter advertised. Any person under the age  
3 of 18 that falsely states that he or she is not under the  
4 age of 18 for the purpose of obtaining the material  
5 advertised is guilty of a Class B misdemeanor under the  
6 laws of the State."

7 (d) The predominant appeal to prurient interest of the  
8 material shall be judged with reference to average children of  
9 the same general age of the child to whom such material was  
10 sold, lent, distributed or given, unless it appears from the  
11 nature of the matter or the circumstances of its dissemination  
12 or distribution that it is designed for specially susceptible  
13 groups, in which case the predominant appeal of the material  
14 shall be judged with reference to its intended or probable  
15 recipient group.

16 (e) Distribution of harmful material in violation of this  
17 Section is a Class A misdemeanor. A second or subsequent  
18 offense is a Class 4 felony.

19 (f) Any person under the age of 18 that falsely states,  
20 either orally or in writing, that he or she is not under the  
21 age of 18, or that presents or offers to any person any  
22 evidence of age and identity that is false or not actually his  
23 or her own for the purpose of ordering, obtaining, viewing, or  
24 otherwise procuring or attempting to procure or view any  
25 harmful material is guilty of a Class B misdemeanor.

26 ~~(g) Elements of the Offense.~~

27 ~~A person who, with knowledge that a person is a child, that~~  
28 ~~is a person under 18 years of age, or who fails to exercise~~  
29 ~~reasonable care in ascertaining the true age of a child,~~  
30 ~~knowingly distributes to or sends or causes to be sent to, or~~  
31 ~~exhibits to, or offers to distribute or exhibit any harmful~~  
32 ~~material to a child, is guilty of a misdemeanor.~~

33 ~~(h) Definitions.~~

34 ~~(1) Material is harmful if, to the average person, applying~~  
35 ~~contemporary standards, its predominant appeal, taken as a~~  
36 ~~whole, is to prurient interest, that is a shameful or morbid~~

HB4023 Enrolled

- 5 -

LRB094 11300 RLC 42106 b

1 ~~interest in nudity, sex, or excretion, which goes substantially~~  
2 ~~beyond customary limits of candor in description or~~  
3 ~~representation of such matters, and is material the redeeming~~  
4 ~~social importance of which is substantially less than its~~  
5 ~~prurient appeal.~~

6 ~~(2) Material, as used in this Section means any writing,~~  
7 ~~picture, record or other representation or embodiment.~~

8 ~~(3) Distribute means to transfer possession of, whether~~  
9 ~~with or without consideration.~~

10 ~~(4) Knowingly, as used in this section means having~~  
11 ~~knowledge of the contents of the subject matter, or recklessly~~  
12 ~~failing to exercise reasonable inspection which would have~~  
13 ~~disclosed the contents thereof.~~

14 ~~(c) Interpretation of Evidence.~~

15 ~~The predominant appeal to prurient interest of the material~~  
16 ~~shall be judged with reference to average children of the same~~  
17 ~~general age of the child to whom such material was offered,~~  
18 ~~distributed, sent or exhibited, unless it appears from the~~  
19 ~~nature of the matter or the circumstances of its dissemination,~~  
20 ~~distribution or exhibition that it is designed for specially~~  
21 ~~susceptible groups, in which case the predominant appeal of the~~  
22 ~~material shall be judged with reference to its intended or~~  
23 ~~probable recipient group.~~

24 ~~In prosecutions under this section, where circumstances of~~  
25 ~~production, presentation, sale, dissemination, distribution,~~  
26 ~~or publicity indicate the material is being commercially~~  
27 ~~exploited for the sake of its prurient appeal, such evidence is~~  
28 ~~probative with respect to the nature of the material and can~~  
29 ~~justify the conclusion that the redeeming social importance of~~  
30 ~~the material is in fact substantially less than its prurient~~  
31 ~~appeal.~~

32 ~~(d) Sentence.~~

33 ~~Distribution of harmful material in violation of this~~  
34 ~~Section is a Class A misdemeanor. A second or subsequent~~  
35 ~~offense is a Class 4 felony.~~

36 ~~(e) Affirmative Defenses.~~

HB4023 Enrolled

- 6 -

LRB094 11300 RLC 42106 b

1 ~~(1) Nothing in this section shall prohibit any public~~  
2 ~~library or any library operated by an accredited institution of~~  
3 ~~higher education from circulating harmful material to any~~  
4 ~~person under 18 years of age, provided such circulation is in~~  
5 ~~aid of a legitimate scientific or educational purpose, and it~~  
6 ~~shall be an affirmative defense in any prosecution for a~~  
7 ~~violation of this section that the act charged was committed in~~  
8 ~~aid of legitimate scientific or educational purposes.~~

9 ~~(2) Nothing in this section shall prohibit any parent from~~  
10 ~~distributing to his child any harmful material.~~

11 ~~(3) Proof that the defendant demanded, was shown and acted~~  
12 ~~in reliance upon any of the following documents as proof of the~~  
13 ~~age of a child, shall be a defense to any criminal prosecution~~  
14 ~~under this section: A document issued by the federal government~~  
15 ~~or any state, county or municipal government or subdivision or~~  
16 ~~agency thereof, including, but not limited to, a motor vehicle~~  
17 ~~operator's license, a registration certificate issued under~~  
18 ~~the Federal Selective Service Act or an identification card~~  
19 ~~issued to a member of the armed forces.~~

20 ~~(4) In the event an advertisement of harmful material as~~  
21 ~~defined in this section culminates in the sale or distribution~~  
22 ~~of such harmful material to a child, under circumstances where~~  
23 ~~there was no personal confrontation of the child by the~~  
24 ~~defendant, his employees or agents, as where the order or~~  
25 ~~request for such harmful material was transmitted by mail,~~  
26 ~~telephone, or similar means of communication, and delivery of~~  
27 ~~such harmful material to the child was by mail, freight, or~~  
28 ~~similar means of transport, it shall be a defense in any~~  
29 ~~prosecution for a violation of this section that the~~  
30 ~~advertisement contained the following statement, or a~~  
31 ~~statement substantially similar thereto, and that the~~  
32 ~~defendant required the purchaser to certify that he was not~~  
33 ~~under 18 years of age and that the purchaser falsely stated~~  
34 ~~that he was not under 18 years of age: "NOTICE: It is unlawful~~  
35 ~~for any person under 18 years of age to purchase the matter~~  
36 ~~herein advertised. Any person under 18 years of age who falsely~~

HB4023 Enrolled

- 7 -

LRB094 11300 RIC 42106 b

1 ~~states that he is not under 18 years of age for the purpose of~~  
2 ~~obtaining the material advertised herein, is guilty of a Class~~  
3 ~~B misdemeanor under the laws of the State of Illinois."~~

4 ~~(f) Child Falsifying Age.~~

5 ~~Any person under 18 years of age who falsely states, either~~  
6 ~~orally or in writing, that he is not under the age of 18 years,~~  
7 ~~or who presents or offers to any person any evidence of age and~~  
8 ~~identity which is false or not actually his own for the purpose~~  
9 ~~of ordering, obtaining, viewing, or otherwise procuring or~~  
10 ~~attempting to procure or view any harmful material, is guilty~~  
11 ~~of a Class B misdemeanor.~~

12 (Source: P.A. 77-2638.)

13 (720 ILCS 5/Art. 12A heading new)

14 ARTICLE 12A. VIOLENT VIDEO GAMES

15 (720 ILCS 5/12A-1 new)

16 Sec. 12A-1. Short title. This Article may be cited as the  
17 Violent Video Games Law.

18 (720 ILCS 5/12A-5 new)

19 Sec. 12A-5. Findings.

20 (a) The General Assembly finds that minors who play violent  
21 video games are more likely to:

22 (1) Exhibit violent, asocial, or aggressive behavior.

23 (2) Experience feelings of aggression.

24 (3) Experience a reduction of activity in the frontal  
25 lobes of the brain which is responsible for controlling  
26 behavior.

27 (b) While the video game industry has adopted its own  
28 voluntary standards describing which games are appropriate for  
29 minors, those standards are not adequately enforced.

30 (c) Minors are capable of purchasing and do purchase  
31 violent video games.

32 (d) The State has a compelling interest in assisting  
33 parents in protecting their minor children from violent video

HB4023 Enrolled

- 8 -

LRB094 11300 RLC 42106 b

1 games.

2 (e) The State has a compelling interest in preventing  
3 violent, aggressive, and asocial behavior.

4 (f) The State has a compelling interest in preventing  
5 psychological harm to minors who play violent video games.

6 (g) The State has a compelling interest in eliminating any  
7 societal factors that may inhibit the physiological and  
8 neurological development of its youth.

9 (h) The State has a compelling interest in facilitating the  
10 maturatation of Illinois' children into law-abiding, productive  
11 adults.

12 (720 ILCS 5/12A-10 new)

13 Sec. 12A-10. Definitions. For the purposes of this Article,  
14 the following terms have the following meanings:

15 (a) "Video game retailer" means a person who sells or rents  
16 video games to the public.

17 (b) "Video game" means an object or device that stores  
18 recorded data or instructions, receives data or instructions  
19 generated by a person who uses it, and, by processing the data  
20 or instructions, creates an interactive game capable of being  
21 played, viewed, or experienced on or through a computer, gaming  
22 system, console, or other technology.

23 (c) "Minor" means a person under 18 years of age.

24 (d) "Person" includes but is not limited to an individual,  
25 corporation, partnership, and association.

26 (e) "Violent" video games include depictions of or  
27 simulations of human-on-human violence in which the player  
28 kills or otherwise causes serious physical harm to another  
29 human. "Serious physical harm" includes depictions of death,  
30 dismemberment, amputation, decapitation, maiming,  
31 disfigurement, mutilation of body parts, or rape.

32 (720 ILCS 5/12A-15 new)

33 Sec. 12A-15. Restricted sale or rental of violent video  
34 games.



HB4023 Enrolled

- 9 -

LRB094 11300 RLC 42106 b

1       (a) A person who sells, rents, or permits to be sold or  
2       rented, any violent video game to any minor, commits a petty  
3       offense for which a fine of \$1,000 may be imposed.

4       (b) A person who sells, rents, or permits to be sold or  
5       rented any violent video game via electronic scanner must  
6       program the electronic scanner to prompt sales clerks to check  
7       identification before the sale or rental transaction is  
8       completed. A person who violates this subsection (b) commits a  
9       petty offense for which a fine of \$1,000 may be imposed.

10       (c) A person may not sell or rent, or permit to be sold or  
11       rented, any violent video game through a self-scanning checkout  
12       mechanism. A person who violates this subsection (c) commits a  
13       petty offense for which a fine of \$1,000 may be imposed.

14       (d) A retail sales clerk shall not be found in violation of  
15       this Section unless he or she has complete knowledge that the  
16       party to whom he or she sold or rented a violent video game was  
17       a minor and the clerk sold or rented the video game to the  
18       minor with the specific intent to do so.

19       (720 ILCS 5/12A-20 new)

20       Sec. 12A-20. Affirmative defenses. In any prosecution  
21       arising under this Article, it is an affirmative defense:

22       (1) that the defendant was a family member of the minor for  
23       whom the video game was purchased. "Family member" for the  
24       purpose of this Section, includes a parent, sibling,  
25       grandparent, aunt, uncle, or first cousin;

26       (2) that the minor who purchased the video game exhibited a  
27       draft card, driver's license, birth certificate or other  
28       official or apparently official document purporting to  
29       establish that the minor was 18 years of age or older, which  
30       the defendant reasonably relied on and reasonably believed to  
31       be authentic;

32       (3) for the video game retailer, if the retail sales clerk  
33       had complete knowledge that the party to whom he or she sold or  
34       rented a violent video game was a minor and the clerk sold or  
35       rented the video game to the minor with the specific intent to

HB4023 Enrolled - 10 - LRB094 11300 RLC 42106 b

1 do so; or

2 (4) that the video game sold or rented was pre-packaged and  
3 rated EC, E10+, E, or T by the Entertainment Software Ratings  
4 Board.

5 (720 ILCS 5/12A-25 new)

6 Sec. 12A-25. Labeling of violent video games.

7 (a) Video game retailers shall label all violent video  
8 games as defined in this Article, with a solid white "18"  
9 outlined in black. The "18" shall have dimensions of no less  
10 than 2 inches by 2 inches. The "18" shall be displayed on the  
11 front face of the video game package.

12 (b) A retailer's failure to comply with this Section is a  
13 petty offense punishable by a fine of \$500 for the first 3  
14 violations, and \$1,000 for every subsequent violation.

15 (720 ILCS 5/Art. 12B heading new)

16 ARTICLE 12B. SEXUALLY EXPLICIT VIDEO GAMES

17 (720 ILCS 5/12B-1 new)

18 Sec. 12B-1. Short title. This Article may be cited as the  
19 Sexually Explicit Video Games Law.

20 (720 ILCS 5/12B-5 new)

21 Sec. 12B-5. Findings. The General Assembly finds sexually  
22 explicit video games inappropriate for minors and that the  
23 State has a compelling interest in assisting parents in  
24 protecting their minor children from sexually explicit video  
25 games.

26 (720 ILCS 5/12B-10 new)

27 Sec. 12B-10. Definitions. For the purposes of this Article,  
28 the following terms have the following meanings:

29 (a) "Video game retailer" means a person who sells or rents  
30 video games to the public.

31 (b) "Video game" means an object or device that stores

HB4023 Enrolled

- 11 -

LRB094 11300 RLC 42106 b

1 recorded data or instructions, receives data or instructions  
2 generated by a person who uses it, and, by processing the data  
3 or instructions, creates an interactive game capable of being  
4 played, viewed, or experienced on or through a computer, gaming  
5 system, console, or other technology.

6 (c) "Minor" means a person under 18 years of age.

7 (d) "Person" includes but is not limited to an individual,  
8 corporation, partnership, and association.

9 (e) "Sexually explicit" video games include those that the  
10 average person, applying contemporary community standards  
11 would find, with respect to minors, is designed to appeal or  
12 pander to the prurient interest and depict or represent in a  
13 manner patently offensive with respect to minors, an actual or  
14 simulated sexual act or sexual contact, an actual or simulated  
15 normal or perverted sexual act or a lewd exhibition of the  
16 genitals or post-pubescent female breast.

17 (720 ILCS 5/12B-15 new)

18 Sec. 12B-15. Restricted sale or rental of sexually explicit  
19 video games.

20 (a) A person who sells, rents, or permits to be sold or  
21 rented, any sexually explicit video game to any minor, commits  
22 a petty offense for which a fine of \$1,000 may be imposed.

23 (b) A person who sells, rents, or permits to be sold or  
24 rented any sexually explicit video game via electronic scanner  
25 must program the electronic scanner to prompt sales clerks to  
26 check identification before the sale or rental transaction is  
27 completed. A person who violates this subsection (b) commits a  
28 petty offense for which a fine of \$1,000 may be imposed.

29 (c) A person may not sell or rent, or permit to be sold or  
30 rented, any sexually explicit video game through a  
31 self-scanning checkout mechanism. A person who violates this  
32 subsection (c) commits a petty offense for which a fine of  
33 \$1,000 may be imposed.

34 (d) A retail sales clerk shall not be found in violation of  
35 this Section unless he or she has complete knowledge that the

HB4023 Enrolled - 12 - IRB094 11300 RLC 42106 b

1 party to whom he or she sold or rented a sexually explicit  
2 video game was a minor and the clerk sold or rented the video  
3 game to the minor with the specific intent to do so.

4 (720 ILCS 5/12B-20 new)  
5 Sec. 12B-20. Affirmative defenses. In any prosecution  
6 arising under this Article, it is an affirmative defense:

7 (1) that the defendant was a family member of the minor for  
8 whom the video game was purchased. "Family member" for the  
9 purpose of this Section, includes a parent, sibling,  
10 grandparent, aunt, uncle, or first cousin;

11 (2) that the minor who purchased the video game exhibited a  
12 draft card, driver's license, birth certificate or other  
13 official or apparently official document purporting to  
14 establish that the minor was 18 years of age or older, which  
15 the defendant reasonably relied on and reasonably believed to  
16 be authentic;

17 (3) for the video game retailer, if the retail sales clerk  
18 had complete knowledge that the party to whom he or she sold or  
19 rented a violent video game was a minor and the clerk sold or  
20 rented the video game to the minor with the specific intent to  
21 do so; or

22 (4) that the video game sold or rented was pre-packaged and  
23 rated EC, E10+, E, or T by the Entertainment Software Ratings  
24 Board.

25 (720 ILCS 5/12B-25 new)  
26 Sec. 12B-25. Labeling of sexually explicit video games.

27 (a) Video game retailers shall label all sexually explicit  
28 video games as defined in this Act, with a solid white "18"  
29 outlined in black. The "18" shall have dimensions of no less  
30 than 2 inches by 2 inches. The "18" shall be displayed on the  
31 front face of the video game package.

32 (b) A retailer who fails to comply with this Section is  
33 guilty of a petty offense punishable by a fine of \$500 for the  
34 first 3 violations, and \$1,000 for every subsequent violation.

HB4023 Enrolled

- 13 -

LRB094 11300 RLC 42106 b

1 (720 ILCS 5/12B-30 new)

2 Sec. 12B-30. Posting notification of video games rating  
3 system.

4 (a) A retailer who sells or rents video games shall post a  
5 sign that notifies customers that a video game rating system,  
6 created by the Entertainment Software Ratings Board, is  
7 available to aid in the selection of a game. The sign shall be  
8 prominently posted in, or within 5 feet of, the area in which  
9 games are displayed for sale or rental, at the information desk  
10 if one exists, and at the point of purchase.

11 (b) The lettering of each sign shall be printed, at a  
12 minimum, in 36-point type and shall be in black ink against a  
13 light colored background, with dimensions of no less than 18 by  
14 24 inches.

15 (c) A retailer's failure to comply with this Section is a  
16 petty offense punishable by a fine of \$500 for the first 3  
17 violations, and \$1,000 for every subsequent violation.

18 (720 ILCS 5/12B-35 new)

19 Sec. 12B-35. Availability of brochure describing rating  
20 system.

21 (a) A video game retailer shall make available upon request  
22 a brochure to customers that explains the Entertainment  
23 Software Ratings Board ratings system.

24 (b) A retailer who fails to comply with this Section shall  
25 receive the punishment described in subsection (b) of Section  
26 12B-25.

27 Section 98. Severability. If any provision of this Act or  
28 the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held  
29 invalid, the remainder of this Act and the application of such  
30 provision to other persons or circumstances shall not be  
31 affected thereby.

32 Section 99. Effective Date. This Act takes effect January

HB4023 Enrolled

- 14 -

LRB094 11300 RLC 42106 b

1 1, 2006.